

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA**

IN RE PORK ANTITRUST LITIGATION

Case No. 0:18-cv-01776 (JRT/HB)

This Document Relates to: All Actions

STIPULATION CONCERNING DEPOSITIONS

This Stipulation Concerning Depositions (“Deposition Protocol Order”) may be modified at any time by agreement of the parties or by order of the Court. All parties reserve all rights to seek relief from the Court from any provision for good cause. Nothing herein should be deemed to amend the deposition-related or other provisions set forth in the Pretrial Scheduling Order adopted by the Court. *See* Dkt. 658.

I. DEPOSITION PROCEDURES

A. A witness may be deposed only once in these proceedings unless the parties otherwise agree or the Court otherwise orders. This limitation will not affect the rights of a party to seek to depose as a fact witness an individual who has been previously deposed as a corporate representative of a party designated under Rule 30(b)(6), or of other parties to object to such depositions. Nor will this procedure affect the rights of a party to seek a corporate representative deposition under Rule 30(b)(6) when the corporate representative has been previously deposed as a fact witness, or of other parties to object to such depositions.

B. If any individual has been employed by more than one Defendant, that individual may be deposed only once.

C. Where a deponent is represented by counsel who does not already represent a party to the above-referenced litigation (e.g., a third party or an employee of a Defendant with separate counsel), then the noticing party shall provide a copy of this deposition protocol to the deponent's counsel along with the deposition subpoena or notice.

II. ALLOCATION OF DEPOSITION TIME

A. As to all depositions noticed by Plaintiffs, Plaintiffs' counsel will continue to collaborate and confer before the deposition to allocate examination time among any Plaintiffs' counsel intending to participate and ask questions at the deposition. Counsel for any Plaintiff disputing the proposed allocation of examination time among Plaintiffs are permitted to seek relief from Magistrate Judge Bowbeer pursuant to her Informal Dispute Resolution procedure, and no later than five (5) business days before the date of the deposition.

B. As to all depositions noticed by Defendants, Defendants' counsel shall confer before the deposition to allocate examination time among any Defendants' counsel intending to participate and ask questions at the deposition. Counsel for any Defendant objecting to the allocation of examination time among Defendants shall be required to seek relief from the Court no later than five (5) business days before the date of the deposition.

C. As set forth by the parties in their Rule 26(f) report, depositions of all 30(b)(1) witnesses noticed by any party will be limited to 7 hours of direct examination consistent with FRCP 30(d)(1), unless the parties otherwise agree or the Court otherwise

orders. The 7 hour limit on 30(b)(1) testimony shall not limit the time or ability of parties to depose a 30(b)(1) witness who is designated as a corporate representative witness on any 30(b)(6) topics. If any technical issues are encountered during depositions, then the parties will go off the record and such time will not count against the time limit on depositions. Noticing counsel will have a reasonable amount of time, not to exceed 30 minutes, to conduct any redirect examination of the witness, which shall not count towards the 7-hour limit for the noticing party's direct examination. Noticing counsel also may reserve a portion of their 7 hours with the witness to conduct further redirect examination in excess of 30 minutes. Counsel for a witness or for the party that is the witness's current or past employer will have a reasonable amount of time not to exceed 1 hour to examine the witness at the conclusion of direct examination without need for a cross-notice. If counsel for the witness or for the party that is the witness's current or past employer reasonably believes that circumstances may require additional time for them to question the witness, including, but not limited to, the witness's age, health, and/or availability for trial, the party may serve a cross-notice of deposition. In the event a cross-notice is served, the time allocations set forth in Paragraph II(D) shall apply. Where a former employee resides outside the district and would not be subject to a trial subpoena, good cause exists for a party to cross-notice such former employee's deposition.

D. In the event a party cross-notices the deposition of a current or former employee of that party or a co-party (e.g., a Defendant cross-notices the deposition of an employee of a co-Defendant), the time permitted by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure shall be extended to 9 hours, with the noticing party entitled to examine the witness for 7

hours and the cross-noticing party entitled to examine the witness for at least 2 hours, with the potential for additional time, provided advanced notice is given. To the extent that a party reasonably believes that more than 2 additional hours will be required to examine the witness, the parties shall meet and confer in good faith regarding whether an additional day is necessary sufficiently in advance of the deposition to allow any dispute to be brought to the Court for resolution.

E. To the extent Plaintiffs or Defendants cross-notice a third party for deposition, the parties shall meet and confer regarding the division of time among the parties. Parties may seek leave of Court for additional time for good cause or if they are otherwise unable to agree upon the division of time for a deposition.

III. SCHEDULING AND LOCATION OF DEPOSITIONS

A. Counsel will consult with one another to coordinate, to the extent practicable, all scheduling, noticing, and taking of depositions. Counsel shall not unilaterally take steps to schedule and notice a party deposition without consulting in advance with counsel for the witness or the party that is the current or former employer of the witness.

B. The parties agree that they will work in good faith to provide no fewer than fourteen (14) days' formal notice of a deposition to all other parties. If, after consultation, counsel for the witness objects to the date proposed for the witness's deposition and the parties cannot agree upon a date for the deposition, then the noticing party shall issue a deposition notice. The noticed party will respond to the party issuing notice within five (5) business days and will counter-propose alternative dates on which the witness and his or her counsel will be available. All parties agree to respond to such counter-proposal within

five (5) business days of receipt and the party and counsel for the witness shall meet and confer in good faith consistent with the local rules and their ethical obligations. Disputes that cannot be resolved through the meet and confer process shall be decided by the Court.

C. A party may serve a deposition subpoena on a third party without consulting any opposing party; however, the serving party will contemporaneously provide the opposing parties with the as-served copy of the subpoena. An opposing party will inform the noticing party within five (5) business days of service on the opposing party whether the opposing party intends to cross-notice the third party's deposition. Regardless of whether an opposing party cross-notices the deposition, the parties shall coordinate with each other and with the witness (or counsel for the witness), where possible, on scheduling the deposition. Plaintiffs or Defendants seeking to schedule the deposition of a third party shall schedule such a deposition only on a date the Plaintiffs or Defendants, respectively, have not already scheduled a deposition. However, the parties shall meet and confer if double- or triple-tracking of depositions becomes necessary to comply with scheduling deadlines. The noticing party shall serve a copy of this order along with the subpoena to any third party.

D. Party depositions shall be noticed pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and all notices shall be served on all parties electronically. Any subpoenas for deposition testimony shall be served on witnesses as required by law, but copies may be served electronically on all parties.

E. Once a deposition has been scheduled, it shall not be taken off calendar, postponed, or rescheduled, except by agreement of the noticing party or by other relief

obtained from the Court. However, the parties and non-parties shall make all reasonable efforts to accommodate reasonable requests for continuances of depositions.

IV. DEPOSITION PROCEDURES

A. Noticing Depositions: A party can notice a deposition to be taken via remote means or in person, at its election. At the time of the issuance of the notice of deposition or subpoena, the noticing party will indicate whether they intend for the deposition to proceed in person or via remote means. However, the parties recognize that compelling travel of witnesses, attorneys, or court reporters for in-person depositions may not be appropriate in all instances given the ongoing global COVID-19 pandemic. Accordingly, notwithstanding that a deposition is noticed to be in-person, witnesses or defending counsel may elect to proceed with depositions remotely until the U.S. District Court for the District of Minnesota's General Order re: Court Operations Under the Exigent Circumstances Created by COVID-19, or comparable order of the federal judicial district in which the witness is to be deposed, has expired, been terminated, or vacated, or modified to permit in-person proceedings without limitations. If the noticing party, the responding party, and the witness agree, a deposition may take place in person at an agreed upon location with only the noticing party, responding party, witness, court reporter, and videographer appearing in person. Furthermore, defending counsel may appear in person with their witness, at the witness's election, regardless of whether the deposition is conducted in person or remotely, and regardless of whether questioning counsel appears in person or remotely. If a deposition was previously agreed to be an in-person deposition, the party noticing the deposition, witness, or opposing counsel may request the deposition be

changed to a remote deposition. Such a request to change the format for the deposition should be provided as soon as reasonably practicable, but no later than seven (7) days in advance of the deposition. The parties will work cooperatively and timely to arrange for the necessary logistics required for the change in format of the deposition. Any party may participate in any in-person deposition in person or by telephone or videoconference. Unless conditions related to the COVID-19 pandemic safely allow otherwise, however, all parties should confer in advance to ensure that only those attorneys who plan to question or represent the witness will appear in person. Examining counsel and counsel intending to participate by telephone or videoconference shall cooperate in good faith to facilitate such participation.

B. Real Time Text and Video Feeds. Counsel noticing the deposition (or counsel for the witness if they are hosting the deposition) shall make arrangements so that the appropriate hardware is available for the participants. In most instances, this will mean a computer with a camera and microphone capable of utilizing the videoconference platform (such as Zoom), a conference call line, and any software needed for the use of electronic exhibits. However, for depositions proceeding remotely, counsel for the witness will ensure that the witness has access to a computer with a camera and microphone capable of utilizing the videoconference platform. If the witness already has the necessary technology, they shall utilize those resources. If the witness does not have the necessary technology, the cost of providing it shall be borne by the noticing party if the witness is deposed in their home, but the cost shall be borne by the witness's counsel if the witness is deposed in their counsel's office. The court reporting service will make available a real-

time text feed to participants upon request. Any party requesting the additional real time text feed or equivalent electronic reporting shall be responsible for the cost.

C. Electronic Exhibits: A party may utilize electronic exhibits in connection with a deposition so long as the party provides notice to the deponent and its counsel not later than four (4) business days before the deposition and arranges for the technology to permit presentment of the electronic exhibits at the deposition to the witness and counsel in a manner that enables them to review the exhibits during the course of the deposition. Upon request by the witness or defending counsel, the party seeking to use electronic exhibits must provide a hard-copy set to the witness and defending counsel in advance of the deposition if the deposition is proceeding remotely, except to the extent that the reason for using an electronic exhibit is the burden of printing and using a hard copy, such as with large Excel files. The noticing party and the responding party agree that such hard copy exhibits must remain sealed and unopened until the deposition begins and the witness is instructed on the record to open the sealed hard copy exhibits. Noticing counsel will attempt in good faith to include in the hard copy set all of the exhibits on which they plan to question the witness; however, nothing in this paragraph is intended to, nor in fact, prevents noticing counsel from preparing for the deposition until the time that it occurs, or from introducing during the deposition additional exhibits not previously mailed in hard copy, including by electronic means otherwise provided here. For depositions considered in person, hard copies of the exhibits must be provided to the witness at the deposition at the witness's request.

D. Hard Copy Exhibits: With respect to any hard copy exhibit used in a deposition (i.e., an exhibit that has not been marked and used in a prior deposition in a related case), “sufficient copies” shall mean five (5) hard copies unless deposing counsel knows in advance of the deposition that fewer copies will be needed for those attending. In all events, examining counsel, defending counsel, and all other counsel attending the deposition shall cooperate in good faith so that counsel for each party attending the deposition will have adequate access during the deposition to an exhibit used by examining counsel during the deposition, such as stating the Bates number for each exhibit on the record so counsel may view such documents electronically in their document review software. For the avoidance of doubt, the five (5) copies of hard copy exhibits required to be provided by this paragraph is limited to in-person depositions; remote depositions are governed by Paragraph IV(C) above.

E. Remote Administration of the Oath: The parties agree that a court reporter may administer the oath to a deponent remotely, even if the court reporter is not in the physical presence of the witness. Further, if a court reporter is not authorized to take oaths in the place of examination pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 28, the parties agree that the administration of such oaths remotely and that the transcripts may be used by or against all parties in this litigation to the same extent that would otherwise be permissible under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the Federal Rules of Evidence.

F. Videoconferencing: For any remote deposition, video from the videoconferencing service may be recorded for later use in proceedings in this case, including trial. For remote depositions, the videoconferencing platform must allow for the

deponent, attending counsel, deposing counsel, defending counsel, court reporter, and videographer to participate in a deposition without attending the deposition in person. For in-person depositions (i.e., where deposing counsel, the court reporter, and the videographer appear in the room with the witness), the videoconferencing platform must allow counsel who choose to do so to participate without attending the deposition in person. Should technical issues prevent the court reporter from reliably hearing or transcribing the testimony at any deposition taken remotely pursuant to this order and such technical issue cannot be remedied in a timely manner, deposing counsel, defending counsel, and attending counsel shall meet, confer, and cooperate with one another to address the problem, including but not limited to rescheduling the deposition. The video conferencing for remote depositions shall be set up in such a way that counsel attending the deposition remotely can see all persons present in the room with the witness and see the witness's face clearly. Details regarding the video conferencing service will be made available to all parties at least five (5) business days before the deposition. The noticing party and defending counsel shall meet, confer, and cooperate to ensure that the deponent has technology sufficient to attend a deposition via remote means. If necessary, this shall include arranging for the deponent to participate in a "test run" of the deposition video conferencing software being utilized at the expense of the noticing party.

G. In addition to recording deposition testimony by stenographic means, the noticing party may arrange for the deposition to be recorded via video by a videographer. The examining attorney, at their election, may make a video recording of their own examination of the witness in addition to the witness. A certified video recording of the

witness alone made by a certified videographer will be created in addition to any separate video of the examining attorney. The noticing party is responsible for ensuring that the remote means utilized for a deposition allow for the court reporter to accurately record the deponent's testimony. Either the noticing party or defending counsel may elect to have a technical specialist attend a deposition taken by remote means to ensure that technical issues are dealt with in a timely manner, the costs of which will be borne solely by the party electing to do so.

V. CONDUCT OF DEPOSITIONS

A. Regardless of location or whether the deposition is taken in-person or remotely, all depositions shall be conducted in accordance with all applicable Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the Federal Rules of Evidence unless otherwise required by law, including Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 30(c)(2), except that the parties agree that one objection by plaintiff or defendant can be relied upon by all plaintiffs or defendants respectively.

B. The parties agree that under Fed. R. Civ. P. 30(d)(1), objections are to be stated "concisely" and in a "non-argumentative and non-suggestive manner."

C. The court-reporter service shall maintain a total running time for actual deposition to measure compliance with the time limitations and the time allocation provisions above.

VI. DEPARTING DOCUMENT CUSTODIANS AND FORMER EMPLOYEES

A. In response to a request for deposition, if the witness is a former employee of any party and is not currently represented by counsel for that party, counsel for that party shall within seven (7) business days of the deposition notice provide the date of departure and last known contact information of the former employee, whether counsel can accept service of the notice, and whether counsel will be representing that party for the deposition.

B. In response to a request for deposition, if the witness is a departing document custodian who intends to leave his or her employment before the deposition would occur, counsel for the party shall within five (5) business days of the deposition notice request that the deponent appear for deposition at a date, place, and time convenient for the parties and the deponent, consistent with the local rules and this Deposition Protocol, without the need for service of a third party subpoena or other formal judicial process on the deponent, and inform the noticing party of the deponent's response to such request. If a departing deponent agrees to appear without the need for service of a subpoena or other formal judicial process on the deponent, the procedures for scheduling the deposition of a current employee of a party shall apply and the deposition will be counted as a party deposition.

VII. LEGAL STANDARDS GOVERNING DEPOSITIONS

A. Regardless of location or whether the deposition is taken in-person or remotely, all depositions shall be conducted in accordance with applicable Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Federal Rules of Evidence, the Local Rules of the United States District Court for the District of Minnesota, applicable standing orders regarding attorney

conduct, ethical rules governing conduct of attorneys, and rulings of courts in the United States District Court for the District of Minnesota. Counsel shall act in good faith to ensure compliance with these rules and all applicable ethical obligations and may seek appropriate relief for violations.

VIII. STANDARD STIPULATION

The following stipulation will apply to all depositions taken in this action (and any subsequent cases filed that are deemed by the Court to be consolidated with or related to this matter) and shall be included in each transcript by the court reporter:

A. Transcripts of depositions will be delivered from court reporters to counsel for the witness. Counsel shall promptly forward it to the witness for review, correction, and signature under penalty of perjury. Within 30 days of receiving the transcript from the court reporter, the witness's counsel shall then forward the original transcript plus corrections to the court reporter, who will promptly notify all counsel of its receipt and any errata.

B. If the witness is not represented by counsel, the original transcript will be sent to the witness by the court reporter. After review, correction, and signature under penalty of perjury within 30 days from the date of receipt, the witness shall return the original transcript to the court reporter, who will notify all counsel of its receipt and any errata submitted by the witness.

C. Any witness who submits errata must do so in accordance with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 30(e)(2).

D. The court reporter will provide the original transcript to the first examining attorney. If, for any reason, the original is lost, misplaced, not returned, not signed, or unavailable, a certified copy may be used in its place for all purposes.

IX. USE OF DEPOSITIONS

A. The depositions taken by any party pursuant to this Deposition Protocol may be made available and used in any subsequent cases filed that are deemed by the Court to be consolidated with or related to this matter. Nothing in this paragraph prevents a party from objecting to the use of, or moving to exclude use of, a deposition from any subsequently-related matter in that party's case.

B. The Parties agree that the transcript and/or recording of any deposition taken by any party pursuant to this Deposition Protocol by remote means shall be admissible in any proceeding in this matter (or any related or consolidated matter) to the same extent and as if the deposition were taken in-person.

DATED: November 29, 2021

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Bobby Pouya

Bobby Pouya
Clifford H. Pearson
Daniel L. Warshaw
Michael H. Pearson
PEARSON SIMON & WARSHAW, LLP
15165 Ventura Boulevard, Suite 400
Sherman Oaks, CA 92403
T: (818) 788-8300
cpearson@pswlaw.com
dwarshaw@pswlaw.com
bpouya@pswlaw.com
mpearson@pswlaw.com

Melissa S. Weiner (MN #0387900)
PEARSON, SIMON & WARSHAW, LLP
800 LaSalle Avenue, Suite 2150
Minneapolis, MN 55402
T: (612) 389-0600
mweiner@pswlaw.com

Bruce L. Simon
Benjamin E. Shiftan
Neil Swartzberg
PEARSON, SIMON & WARSHAW, LLP
350 Sansome Street, Suite 680
San Francisco, CA 94104
T: (415) 433-9000
F: (415) 433-9008
bsimon@pswlaw.com
bshiftan@pswlaw.com
nswartzberg@pswlaw.com

/s/ Arielle S. Wagner

W. Joseph Bruckner (MN #0147758)
Brian D. Clark (MN #0390069)
Arielle S. Wagner (MN #0398332)
Craig S. Davis (MN #0148192)
Simeon A. Morbey (MN #0391338)
Joseph C. Bourne (MN #0389922)
Stephen M. Owen (MN # 0399370)
LOCKRIDGE GRINDAL NAUEN P.L.L.P.
100 Washington Avenue South, Suite 2200
Minneapolis, MN 55401
T: (612) 339-6900
wjbruckner@locklaw.com
bdclark@locklaw.com
aswagner@locklaw.com
csdavis@locklaw.com
samorbey@locklaw.com
jcbourne@locklaw.com
smowen@locklaw.com

***Co-Lead Class Counsel for Direct Purchaser
Plaintiffs***

/s/ Shana E. Scarlett

Shana E. Scarlett
HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL SHAPIRO LLP
715 Hearst Avenue, Suite 202
Berkeley, CA 94710
T: (510) 725-3000
shanas@hbsslaw.com

Steve. W. Berman
Breanna Van Engelen
HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL SHAPIRO LLP
1301 2nd Avenue, Suite 2000
Seattle, WA 98101
T: (206) 623-7292
steve@hbsslaw.com
breannav@hbsslaw.com

/s/ Daniel E. Gustafson

Daniel E. Gustafson (#202241)
Daniel C. Hedlund (#258337)
Michelle J. Looby (#388166)
Brittany N. Resch (#0397656)
GUSTAFSON GLUEK PLLC
120 South 6th Street, Suite 2600
Minneapolis, MN 55402
T: (612) 333-8844
dgustafson@gustafsongluek.com
dhedlund@gustafsongluek.com
mlooby@gustafsongluek.com
bresch@gustafsongluek.com

***Co-Lead Counsel for Consumer Indirect
Purchaser Plaintiffs***

/s/ Shawn M. Raiter

Shawn M. Raiter (MN# 240424)
LARSON • KING, LLP
2800 Wells Fargo Place
30 East Seventh Street
St. Paul, MN 55101
T: (651) 312-6518
sraiter@larsonking.com

Jonathan W. Cuneo
Joel Davidow
Blaine Finley
Yifei “Evelyn” Li
CUNEO GILBERT & LADUCA, LLP
4725 Wisconsin Avenue NW, Suite 200
Washington, DC 20016
T: (202) 789-3960
jonc@cuneolaw.com
joel@cuneolaw.com
bfinley@cuneolaw.com
evelyn@cunelolaw.com

***Co-Lead Counsel for Commercial and
Institutional Indirect Purchaser Plaintiffs***

/s/ Kyle G. Bates

Kyle G. Bates
HAUSFELD LLP
600 Montgomery Street, Suite 3200
San Francisco, CA 94111
T: (415) 633-1908
kbates@hausfled.com

Peter B. Schneider
SCHNEIDER WALLACE COTTRELL
KONECKY WOTKYNS LLP
3700 Buffalo Speedway, Suite 300
Houston, Texas 77098
T: (713) 338-2560
F: (415) 421-7105
pschneider@schneiderwallace.com

Todd M. Schneider
Matthew S. Weiler
SCHNEIDER WALLACE COTTRELL
KONECKY WOTKYNS LLP
2000 Powell St., Suite 1400
Emeryville, California 94608
T: (415) 421-7100
tschneider@schneiderwallace.com
mweiler@schneiderwallace.com

/s/ Robert N. Kaplan

Robert N. Kaplan
Matthew P. McCahill
Jason A. Uris
KAPLAN FOX & KILSHEIMER, LLP
850 Third Avenue, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10022
T: (212) 687-1980
rkaplan@kaplanfox.com
mmccahill@kaplanfox.com
juris@kaplanfox.com

Garrett W. Wotkyns
SCHNEIDER WALLACE COTTRELL
KONECKY WOTKYNS LLP
8501 N. Scottsdale Road, Suite 270
Scottsdale, Arizona 85253
T: (480) 428-0145
gwotkyns@schneiderwallace.com

Domingo Emanuelli-Hernández
Attorney General
Guarionex Díaz Martínez
Assistant Attorney General
Antitrust Division
Puerto Rico Department of Justice
P.O. Box 9020192
San Juan, Puerto Rico 00902-0192
T: (787) 721-2900, ext. 2600, 2601
F: (787) 721-3223
gdiaz@justicia.pr.gov

Counsel for the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico

/s/ Eric R. Lifvendahl

Eric R. Lifvendahl
Ryan F. Manion
L&G LAW GROUP, LLP
175 W. Jackson Blvd., Suite 950
Chicago, Illinois 60604
T: (312) 364-2500
elifvendahl@lgcounsel.com
rmanion@lgcounsel.com

/s/ Richard L. Coffman

Richard L. Coffman
THE COFFMAN LAW FIRM
3355 W. Alabama St., Suite 240
Houston, Texas 77098
T: (713) 528-6700
rcoffman@coffmanlawfirm.com

/s/ Bernard D. Marcus

Bernard D. Marcus
Moira Cain-Mannix
Brian C. Hill
Rachel A. Beckman
MARCUS & SHAPIRA LLP
One Oxford Center, 35th Floor
Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15219
T: (412) 471-3490
marcus@marcus-shapira.com
cain-mannix@marcus-shapira.com
hill@marcus-shapira.com
beckman@marcus-shapira.com

***Counsel for MDL DAPs Action Meat
Distributors, Inc., Topco Associates, LLC, Alex
Lee, Inc./Merchants Distributors, LLC,
Associated Food Stores, Inc., Brookshire
Grocery Company, Colorado Boxed Beef Co.,
Certco, Inc., The Golub Corporation, Nicholas
& Co., PFD Enterprises, Inc., SpartanNash
Company, Springfield Grocer Company, The
Distribution Group d/b/a Van Eerden
Foodservice Co., Troyer Foods, Inc., URM
Stores, Inc., and Giant Eagle, Inc.***

/s/ David C. Eddy

David C. Eddy
Dennis J. Lynch
Travis C. Wheeler
Chase C. Keibler
NEXSEN PRUET, LLC
1230 Main Street, Suite 700
Columbia, South Carolina 29201
T: (803) 771-8900
deddy@nexsenpruet.com
dlynch@nexsenpruet.com
twheeler@nexsenpruet.com
ckeibler@nexsenpruet.com

Counsel for MDL DAPs Conagra Brands, Inc., Nestlé USA, Inc., and Nestlé Purina PetCare Co.

/s/ Scott E. Gant

Scott E. Gant
Michael S. Mitchell
Sarah L. Jones
BOIES SCHILLER FLEXNER LLP
1401 New York Ave., NW
Washington, DC 20005
T: 202-237-2727
sgant@bsfllp.com
mmitchell@bsfllp.com
sjones@bsfllp.com

/s/ David B. Esau

David B. Esau
Kristin A. Gore
Garth T. Yearick
CARLTON FIELDS, P.A.
525 Okeechobee Boulevard, Suite 1200
West Palm Beach, Florida 33401
T: (561) 659-7070
gyearick@carltonfields.com
kgore@carltonfields.com
desau@carltonfields.com

Counsel for MDL DAPs Cheney Brothers, Inc. and Subway Protein Litigation Corp., as litigation trustee of the Subway® Protein Litigation Trust

Colleen Harrison
BOIES SCHILLER FLEXNER LLP
333 Main Street
Armonk, NY 10504
T: 914-749-8204
charrison@bsfllp.com

Counsel for MDL DAPs Sysco Corporation and Amory Investments LLC

/s/ Patrick J. Ahern

Patrick J. Ahern
Theodore B. Bell
AHERN & ASSOCIATES, P.C.
Willoughby Tower
8 South Michigan Avenue, Suite 3600
Chicago, Illinois 60603
T: (312) 404-3760
patrick.ahern@ahernandassociatespc.com
theo.bell@ahernandassociatespc.com

***Counsel for Plaintiffs Winn-Dixie Stores,
Inc.; Bi-Lo Holdings, LLC; and Kraft Heinz
Foods Company***

/s/ Philip J. Iovieno

Philip J. Iovieno
Nicholas A. Gravante, Jr.
Karen C. Dyer
Lawrence S. Brandman
Jack G. Stern
Gillian Groarke Burns
Mark A. Singer
Elizabeth R. Moore
CADWALADER, WICKERSHAM & TAFT LLP
200 Liberty Street
New York, NY 10281
T: (212) 504-6000
philip.iovieno@cwt.com
nicholas.gravante@cwt.com
karen.dyer@cwt.com
lawrence.brandman@cwt.com
jack.stern@cwt.com
gillian.burns@cwt.com
mark.singer@cwt.com
elizabeth.moore@cwt.com

***Counsel for MDL DAPs Jetro Holdings, LLC
and BJ's Wholesale Club, Inc. and Co-
Counsel for Plaintiffs Kraft Heinz Foods
Company; Winn-Dixie Stores, Inc.; and Bi-Lo
Holdings, LLC***

/s/ Mark L. Johnson

Mark L. Johnson (#0345520)
Davida S. McGhee (#0400175)
GREENE ESPEL PLLP
222 South Ninth Street, Suite 2200
Minneapolis, MN 55402
T: (612) 373-0830
mjohnson@greeneespel.com
vmccalmont@greeneespel.com

Daniel Laytin, P.C. (*pro hac vice*)
Christa Cottrell, P.C. (*pro hac vice*)
KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP
300 North LaSalle
Chicago, IL 60654
Telephone: (312) 861-2000
daniel.laytin@kirkland.com
christa.cottrell@kirkland.com

***Counsel for Clemens Food Group, LLC
and The Clemens Family Corporation***

/s/ Donald G. Heeman

Donald G. Heeman (#0286023)
Jessica J. Nelson (#0347358)
Randi J. Winter (#0391354)
SPENCER FANE LLP
100 South Fifth Street, Suite 2500
Minneapolis, MN 55402
Telephone: (612) 268-7000
dheeman@spencerfane.com
jnelson@spencerfane.com
rwinter@spencerfane.com

/s/ Richard A. Duncan

Richard A. Duncan (#0192983)
Aaron D. Van Oort (#0315539)
Craig S. Coleman (#0325491)
Emily E. Chow (#0388239)
Isaac B. Hall (#0395398)
Bryan K. Washburn (#0397733)
FAEGRE DRINKER BIDDLE & REATH LLP
2200 Wells Fargo Center
90 South Seventh Street
Minneapolis, MN 55402-3901
T: (612) 766-7000
richard.duncan@faegredrinker.com
aaron.vanoort@faegredrinker.com
craig.coleman@faegredrinker.com
emily.chow@faegredrinker.com
isaac.hall@faegredrinker.com
bryan.washburn@faegredrinker.com

***Counsel for Hormel Foods Corporation and
Hormel Foods, LLC***

/s/ William L. Greene

William L. Greene (#0198730)
Peter J. Schwingler (#0388909)
Jon M. Woodruff (#0399453)
STINSON LLP
50 South Sixth Street, Suite 2600
Minneapolis, MN 55402
Telephone: (612) 335-1500
william.greene@stinson.com
peter.schwingler@stinson.com
jon.woodruff@stinson.com

Stephen R. Neuwirth (*pro hac vice*)
Michael B. Carlinsky (*pro hac vice*)
Sami H. Rashid (*pro hac vice*)
Richard T. Vagas (*pro hac vice*)
David B. Adler (*pro hac vice*)
QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART &
SULLIVAN, LLP
51 Madison Avenue, 22nd Floor
New York, NY 10010
Telephone: (212) 849-7000
stephenneuwirth@quinnemanuel.com
michaelcarlinsky@quinnemanuel.com
samirashid@quinnemanuel.com
richardvagas@quinnemanuel.com
davidadler@quinnemanuel.com

Counsel for JBS USA Food Company

/s/ John A. Cotter
John A. Cotter (#0134296)
John A. Kvinge (#0392303)
LARKIN HOFFMAN DALY &
LINDGREN LTD.
8300 Norman Center Drive, Suite 1000
Minneapolis, MN 55427-1060
Telephone: (952) 835-3800
jcotter@larkinhoffman.com
jkvinge@larkinhoffman.com

Richard Parker (*pro hac vice*)
Josh Lipton (*pro hac vice*)
GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER, LLP
1050 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20036-5306
Telephone: (202) 955-8500
rparker@gibsondunn.com
jlipton@gibsondunn.com

J. Nicci Warr (*pro hac vice*)
STINSON LLP
7700 Forsyth Blvd., Suite 1100
St. Louis, MO 63105
Telephone: (314) 863-0800
nicci.warr@stinson.com

***Counsel for Seaboard Foods LLC and
Seaboard Corporation***

/s/ Aaron Chapin
Aaron Chapin (#06292540)
HUSCH BLACKWELL LLP
120 South Riverside Plaza, Suite 2200
Chicago, IL 60606
Telephone: (312) 655-1500
aaron.chapin@huschblackwell.com

Marnie Jensen (*pro hac vice*)
Ryann Glenn (*pro hac vice*)
Kamron Hasan (*pro hac vice*)
Sierra Faler (*pro hac vice*)
HUSCH BLACKWELL LLP
13330 California St., Suite 200
Omaha, NE 68154
Telephone: (402) 964-5000
marnie.jensen@huschblackwell.com
ryann.glenn@huschblackwell.com
kamron.hasan@huschblackwell.com
sierra.faler@huschblackwell.com

Brian Robison (*pro hac vice*)
GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER, LLP
2001 Ross Avenue, Suite 2100
Dallas, TX 75201-6912
Telephone: (214) 698-3370
brobison@gibsondunn.com

Counsel for Smithfield Foods, Inc.

/s/ David P. Graham

David P. Graham (#0185462)
DYKEMA GOSSETT PLLC
4000 Wells Fargo Center
90 South Seventh Street
Minneapolis, MN 55402
Telephone: (612) 486-1521
dgraham@dykema.com

Rachel J. Adcox (*pro hac vice*)
Tiffany Rider Rohrbaugh (*pro hac vice*)
AXINN, VELTROP &
HARKRIDER LLP
950 F Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20004
Telephone: (202) 912-4700
radcox@axinn.com
trider@axinn.com

***Counsel for Tyson Foods, Inc., Tyson
Prepared Foods, Inc. and Tyson Fresh
Meats, Inc.***

Christopher A. Smith
HUSCH BLACKWELL LLP
190 Carondelet Plaza, Suite 600
St. Louis, MO 63105
Telephone: (314) 480-1500
Facsimile: (314) 480-1505
chris.smith@huschblackwell.com

Counsel for Triumph Foods, LLC

/s/ Peter H. Walsh

Peter H. Walsh (#0388672)
HOGAN LOVELLS US LLP
80 South Eighth Street, Suite 1225
Minneapolis, MN 55402
T. (612) 402-3000
F. (612) 402-3001
peter.walsh@hoganlovells.com

William L. Monts (*pro hac vice*)
Justin W. Bernick (*pro hac vice*)
HOGAN LOVELLS US LLP
Columbia Square
555 Thirteenth Street, NW
Washington, D.C. 20004
Telephone: (202) 637-5600
william.monts@hoganlovells.com
justin.bernick@hoganlovells.com

Counsel for Agri Stats, Inc.